

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,211	10/28/2003	Steven Gerard Ross	136122CT 4501		
7590 01/30/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Patrick W. Rasche			HO, ALLEN C		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolit		2882			
St. Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/695,211	ROSS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Allen C. Ho	2882

	Allen C. Ho	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		(DTO)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		I be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A la seconda de la constitución	stice of Ammool will so	.4 h.a. a.a.4
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to compare the compare the compared to the compared t	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	•		•
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		000 - 0	ü1
		allen C.	Tto
		Allen C. Ho Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2882

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims, which would require further consideration and search.